

The characterisation of the smallest two fold blocking sets in $\text{PG}(n, 2)$

Manohar L. Aggarwal, Andreas Klein and Leo Storme

July 4, 2011

Abstract

We classify the smallest two fold blocking sets with respect to the $(n-k)$ -spaces in $\text{PG}(n, 2)$. We show that they either consist of two disjoint k -dimensional subspaces or are equal to a $(k+1)$ -dimensional space minus one point.

1 Introduction

A *blocking set with respect to the codimension k spaces* of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ is a set B of points intersecting every codimension k space in at least one point.

A blocking set with respect to the codimension k spaces is called *minimal* when no proper subset of B still is a blocking set with respect to the codimension k spaces.

A famous theorem of Bose and Burton states that the smallest blocking sets in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ are subspaces.

Theorem 1 (Bose and Burton [2])

Let B be a blocking set of $\text{PG}(n, q)$ with respect to codimension k spaces. Then $|B| \geq \frac{q^{k+1}-1}{q-1}$ with equality if and only if B is a k -dimensional subspace of $\text{PG}(n, q)$.

A blocking set B with respect to the codimension k spaces is called *non-trivial* when it does not contain a k -dimensional subspace.

The smallest non-trivial blocking sets are characterised by Beutelspacher and Heim. Let $q + r(q) + 1$ be the size of the smallest non-trivial blocking sets in $\text{PG}(2, q)$.

Theorem 2 (Beutelspacher and Heim [1, 4])

For a non-trivial blocking set B in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, $q > 2$, with respect to k -subspaces, $|B| \geq q^{n-k} + r(q)q^{n-k-1} + q^{n-k-1} + q^{n-k-2} + \dots + q + 1$ and $|B| = q^{n-k} + r(q)q^{n-k-1} + q^{n-k-1} + q^{n-k-2} + \dots + q + 1$ if and only if B is equal to a cone with an $(n-k-2)$ -dimensional vertex and base a minimal non-trivial blocking set of size $q + r(q) + 1$ in a plane skew to the vertex.

The case $q = 2$ differs from the case $q > 2$ in the sense that the plane $\text{PG}(2, 2)$ does not contain a non-trivial blocking set. This explains the condition $q > 2$ in the preceding theorem.

Govaerts and Storme characterised the smallest non-trivial blocking sets with respect to the planes and the lines in $\text{PG}(3, 2)$.

Theorem 3 (Govaerts and Storme [3])

1. In $\text{PG}(n, 2)$, $n \geq 3$, the smallest non-trivial blocking sets with respect to hyperplanes are skeletons of solids in $\text{PG}(n, 2)$; these are sets of five points in a 3-space, no four of which are coplanar. If $n = 3$, then these are the only minimal non-trivial blocking sets with respect to planes. So, up to isomorphism, there is only one non-trivial minimal blocking set with respect to planes in $\text{PG}(3, 2)$.
2. Up to isomorphism, there is only one non-trivial minimal blocking set with respect to lines in $\text{PG}(3, 2)$. It consists of ten points and is the set of points on the edges of a tetrahedron.

They then generalised this theorem to the following general theorem.

Theorem 4 (Govaerts and Storme [3])

In $\text{PG}(n, 2)$, $n \geq 3$, the smallest non-trivial blocking sets with respect to t -spaces, $1 \leq t \leq n - 2$, have size $2^{n-t+1} + 2^{n-t-1} + 2^{n-t-2} - 1$ and are cones with vertex an $(n - t - 3)$ -space π_{n-t-3} and base the set of points on the edges of a tetrahedron in a solid skew to π_{n-t-3} .

One way to generalise this result is to ask for characterisations of multiple blocking sets. For instance, a *two fold (or 2-fold) blocking set with respect to the codimension k spaces* is a set B of points in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ intersecting every codimension k space in at least two points.

One obvious way to construct a two fold blocking set is to take two disjoint k -dimensional subspaces in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ if $2k + 1 \leq n$.

For $q = 2$, there exists an other example. One can take a $(k + 1)$ -dimensional space and remove one point. Each codimension k space intersects the $(k + 1)$ -dimensional space in at least a line. If this line goes through the “missing point”, it still contains two points of B , otherwise it will contain even three points of B .

The goal of this article is to classify the smallest 2-fold blocking sets of $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ and to show that the two examples above are indeed the only smallest ones.

We first prove some auxiliary results.

2 3-Fold blocking sets

Theorem 5

A 3-fold blocking set of $PG(n, 2)$ with respect to codimension k spaces must contain at least $2^{k+2} - 1$ points with equality if and only if it consists of the points of a $(k + 1)$ -dimensional subspace.

Proof

Let B be a 3-fold blocking set of size at most $2^{k+2} - 1$. If B blocks every codimension $k + 1$ space, then by the Bose-Burton theorem, B must be a $(k + 1)$ -dimensional space.

If B does not block every codimension $k + 1$ space, then let π be a codimension $k + 1$ space that contains no points of B .

Each of the $2^{k+1} - 1$ codimension k spaces through π must contain at least 3 points of B and hence $|B| \geq 3(2^{k+1} - 1)$.

3 4-Fold blocking sets with respect to hyperplanes

Theorem 6

A 4-fold blocking set B of $PG(n, 2)$ with respect to hyperplanes must contain at least 10 points. The only 4-fold blocking sets of size 10 are:

- A plane together with a skew line.
- A 3-space without the 5 points of a 5-arc. Equivalently, this is the set of 10 points on the edges of a tetrahedron.

Proof

Let $|B| \leq 10$. The set B must block all codimension 2 spaces or otherwise $|B| \geq 4 \cdot 3 = 12$ since all the three hyperplanes through a codimension 2 space skew to B need at least 4 points of B .

Hence, by Theorem 4, B must either contain a plane or the 10 points of B must lie on the edges of a tetrahedron.

In the second case, the points of B form a 3-space without the points of a 5-arc.

In the first case, B is of the form of a plane plus 3 extra points. Suppose that these 3 extra points are not collinear.

Assume first of all that they define a plane π' skew to π . Take a line ℓ' in π' skew to the three extra points and take a line ℓ in π . Then there is at least one hyperplane through the 3-space $\langle \ell, \ell' \rangle$ only sharing the lines ℓ and ℓ' with π and π' . But then this hyperplane only has three points in common with B . This is a contradiction.

Assume now that the two planes π and π' share one point. Let ℓ' be a line skew to B in π' . If ℓ' is skew to π , then take a line ℓ in π . The same arguments as in the preceding case lead to the same contradiction. If ℓ' intersects π , then

take a line ℓ in π through the point $\pi \cap \pi'$. Then the plane $\langle \ell, \ell' \rangle$ lies in at least one hyperplane only sharing the lines ℓ and ℓ' with π and π' . Then this hyperplane again only contains three points of B . This is false.

Finally, if the planes π and π' share a line, it is possible to find a hyperplane through this intersection line, not containing π nor π' . Then again this hyperplane contains three points of B . This is false. \square

Remark 1

The preceding result was also proven in the context of minihypers and their relation to linear codes meeting the Griesmer bound. An $\{f, m; n, q\}$ -minihyper F is a set of f points in $PG(n, q)$ intersecting every hyperplane in at least m points, where at least one hyperplane contains exactly m points of F .

A $\{\sum_{i=1}^p(2^{u_i} - 1), \sum_{i=1}^p(2^{u_i-1} - 1); k - 1, 2\}$ -minihyper, with $k > u_1 > \dots > u_p \geq 1$, is equivalent to a linear $[n = 2^k - 1 - \sum_{i=1}^p(2^{u_i} - 1), k, d = 2^{k-1} - \sum_{i=1}^p 2^{u_i-1}]$ -linear binary code, meeting the Griesmer bound.

Helleseth gave a complete characterisation of these linear codes, so equivalently, of the corresponding minihypers [5]. The 4-fold blocking set of size 10 in $PG(n, 2)$, $n \geq 3$, discussed in Theorem 6, is a particular example of such a minihyper, namely a $\{10, 4; n, 2\}$ -minihyper.

4 2-Fold blocking sets

4.1 A lower bound on the size of a 2-fold blocking set in $PG(n, 2)$

We start our analysis with the proof of a lower bound on the size of a 2-fold blocking set in $PG(n, 2)$.

Let $\theta_k = 2^{k+1} - 1$ be the number of points in $PG(k, 2)$.

Theorem 7

A set B in $PG(n, 2)$ blocking all codimension k spaces twice contains at least $2\theta_k$ points.

Proof

Let B be a two fold blocking set with at most $2\theta_k$ points. Since $\theta_{k+1} > 2\theta_k$, the Bose-Burton theorem (Theorem 1) shows that B cannot block all codimension $k + 1$ spaces. Let π be a codimension $k + 1$ space which contains no points of B , then all θ_k codimension k spaces through π must contain two points outside of π , which proves that B has at least $2\theta_k$ points. \square

From now on, we assume that B is a two fold blocking set with respect to codimension k spaces of size $2\theta_k$.

We will prove the classification theorem by induction on k starting with the two cases $k = 1$ and $k = 2$.

4.2 Blocking sets with respect to hyperplanes

Lemma 1

A double blocking set B in $PG(3, 2)$ contains at least 6 points. If B is a double blocking set of 6 points in $PG(3, 2)$, then B contains a line.

Proof

If B also blocks every line, then Theorem 1 states that the size of B is at least seven. So, from now on, assume that ℓ is a line skew to B . Then the three planes through ℓ all contain at least two points of B , so the size of B is at least six.

Suppose that B has size 6 and does not contain a line, then B is a 6-cap. The complete caps in $PG(3, 2)$ are classified [6]. There are only two examples:

- a skeleton (5 points projectively equivalent to $(1, 0, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1, 0)$, $(0, 0, 0, 1)$, $(1, 1, 1, 1)$),
- the complement of a plane.

Since B is a cap of 6 points, it must be contained in the complement of a plane; a contradiction to the assumption that B is a double blocking set. \square

From now on, in Lemmas 2 to 4, let B be a double blocking set of size 6 in $PG(3, 2)$.

Lemma 2

Let ℓ be a line contained in B . Let $R \in \ell$ and let π be a plane not through R . The projection of $B \setminus \{R\}$ from R to π contains a line h .

Proof

A plane through R contains at least two points of B , hence the projection of $B \setminus \{R\}$ from R to π must be a line blocking set of π . Every blocking set of $PG(2, 2)$ contains a line h . \square

We now use the notations of the preceding lemma.

Lemma 3

If the line h does not pass through the point $\ell \cap \pi$, then B consists of two skew lines.

Proof

Let R_1, R_2 and R_3 be the three points of $B \setminus \ell$. The three points R_1, R_2, R_3 lie in the plane $\langle R, h \rangle$. For every line m in this plane $\langle h, R \rangle$, we find a plane through it only sharing one point with ℓ . Then this implies that every line of $\langle h, R \rangle$ not through R contains at least one of the points R_1, R_2, R_3 and that every line of $\langle h, R \rangle$ through R also contains at least one of the points R_1, R_2, R_3 . So, the three points R_1, R_2, R_3 form a blocking set in $\langle h, R \rangle$. By the Bose-Burton theorem (Theorem 1), they form a line in $\langle h, R \rangle$. \square

Lemma 4

If the line h passes through $\ell \cap \pi$, then all points of B lie in a plane.

Proof

The plane $\langle \ell, h \rangle$ contains at least 5 points of B . Assume that it does not contain all six points of B . Then a certain line of $\langle \ell, h \rangle$ contains only one point of B and since all planes through that line must contain two points of B , the set B would have at least $5 + 2$ points. This is false. \square

At this point, we have classified the two fold blocking sets of $\text{PG}(3, 2)$ of size 6; we now extend the result to arbitrary dimensions.

Theorem 8

Let B be a two fold blocking set of 6 points in $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ with respect to the hyperplanes. Then B consists either of two skew lines or all points of B lie in a plane.

Proof

If the 6 points span a space $\text{PG}(5, 2)$, then they are projectively equivalent to $(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, \dots , $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$, but this is not a double blocking set. If the 6 points span a space $\text{PG}(4, 2)$, then, up to projective equivalence, B contains the points $(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$, \dots , $(0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$. The hyperplane $x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 0$ contains none of these points. Thus to become a two fold blocking set, B needs at least 2 more points, but only one is left.

Thus B is contained in a 3-space and must form a two fold blocking set with respect to the hyperplanes of that 3-space. By the preceding lemmas, we conclude that either the 6 points of B must form two skew lines or lie in a plane. \square

4.3 Blocking sets with respect to codimension 2 spaces**Lemma 5**

Let B be a two fold blocking set with respect to the codimension two spaces, then every hyperplane contains at least 6 points of B .

Proof

Assume otherwise, then, by Theorem 1, the hyperplane contains a codimension 3 space π with no point in B . The three codimension 2 spaces through π inside the hyperplane contain together at least $3 \cdot 2 = 6$ points of B . \square

From now on, in Lemmas 6 to 10, let B be a two fold blocking set of size 14 with respect to the codimension 2 spaces.

The goal of the next lemmas is to prove that a hyperplane with more than 6 points of B must either share at least a plane with B , or must contain all the points of B .

Lemma 6

A hyperplane Π of $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ cannot contain exactly 9 points of B .

Proof

Each codimension 2 space of $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ inside Π must meet B in 4 points or

otherwise, by counting the number of points of B in the three hyperplanes through this codimension 2 space, B would contain at least $3 + (9 - 3) + 2 \cdot (6 - 3) = 15$ points. Suppose that there is a codimension 3 space of $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ inside Π which contains only one point of B . Counting the codimension 2 spaces inside Π through that codimension 3 space shows that Π contains at least $1 + 3 \cdot (4 - 1) = 10$ points of B . Thus every codimension 3 space of $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ inside Π is blocked at least twice which shows, by Theorem 7, that Π must contain all 14 points of B . \square

Lemma 7

A hyperplane Π of $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ cannot contain exactly 11 or exactly 12 points of B .

Proof

If a hyperplane Π contains more than 10 points of B , then every codimension 2 space of $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ inside Π must contain at least 5 points of B or otherwise B would consist of at least $4 + (11 - 4) + 2 \cdot (6 - 4) = 15$ points. Then every codimension 3 space of $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ inside Π must contain at least 2 points of B or otherwise Π would contain at least $1 + 3 \cdot (5 - 1) = 13$ points of B . So $B \cap \Pi$ is a two fold blocking set with respect to the codimension 2 spaces in Π , i.e. Π contains at least 14 points of B . \square

Lemma 8

A hyperplane Π of $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ cannot contain exactly 13 points of B .

Proof

If a hyperplane Π contains 13 points of B , then every codimension 2 space of $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ inside Π must contain at least 6 points of B , or otherwise B would consist of at least $5 + (13 - 5) + 2 \cdot (6 - 5) = 15$ points. Thus every codimension 3 space of $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ inside Π must contain at least 2 points of B or otherwise Π would contain at least $1 + 3 \cdot (6 - 1) = 16$ points of B . So $B \cap \Pi$ is a two fold blocking set, i.e. Π contains at least 14 points of B . \square

Lemma 9

A hyperplane Π of $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ which meets B in exactly 7 or exactly 8 points contains a plane entirely inside B .

Proof

If a hyperplane Π contains 7 or 8 points of B , then every codimension 2 space of $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ inside Π must contain at least 3 points of B or otherwise B would consist of at least $2 + (7 - 2) + 2 \cdot (6 - 2) = 15$ points.

This implies that every codimension 3 space of $\text{PG}(n, 2)$ inside Π is blocked by B or otherwise Π would contain at least $3 \cdot 3 = 9$ points of B .

Since $B \cap \Pi$ is a blocking set with respect to codimension 3 spaces inside Π of size 7 or 8, it follows that $B \cap \Pi$ must contain a plane (Theorems 3 and 4). \square

Lemma 10

If a hyperplane Π of $PG(n, 2)$ contains exactly 10 points of B , then $B \cap \Pi$ is equal to the union of a plane and a line which are skew to each other.

Proof

Each codimension 2 space of $PG(n, 2)$ inside Π must meet B in at least 4 points, or else B would consist of at least $3 + (10 - 3) + 2 \cdot (6 - 3) = 16$ points.

By Theorem 6, we know that $B \cap \Pi$ must be either a plane plus a skew line or $B \cap \Pi$ must be a 3-space minus the points of a 5-arc. This latter 5-arc is the skeleton of a tetrahedron.

In the last case, take a codimension 2 space of $PG(n, 2)$ inside Π that meets B in exactly 4 points. These four points form a line, plus an extra point P . This extra point P is the third point on the edge of the tetrahedron, different from the vertices of the tetrahedron on this edge.

Let Π' be another hyperplane through that codimension 2 space. Then Π' contains exactly 6 points of B . These 6 points form a two fold blocking set in Π' and hence, by Theorem 8, either $\Pi' \cap B$ are two skew lines or $\Pi' \cap B$ lies in a plane. The last case is impossible due to the construction of Π' .

So we have shown that the 4 points of B not in Π lie on two lines through P . But we can start the same argument with other codimension 2 spaces of $PG(n, 2)$ inside Π which contain exactly 4 points of B , i.e. we find many points P of $\Pi \cap B$ such that the 4 points of B not in Π lie on two lines through P . This implies that they then lie in a plane sharing at most a line with the 3-space containing the tetrahedron. But this is absurd since there are 6 different choices for the point P .

Hence, the only possible way to have a 4-fold blocking set of size 10 in Π is to take a plane and a skew line. \square

Theorem 9

Let B be a two fold blocking set of size 14 with respect to codimension 2 spaces in $PG(n, 2)$, then

1. *B consists of either two skew planes, or*
2. *all 14 points of B lie in a 3-space.*

Proof

The proof goes by induction on n . For $n = 3$, there is nothing to prove.

Now let $n > 3$. By counting the average number of points in a hyperplane, we find a hyperplane Π which contains more than 6 points of B . By the preceding lemmas, either Π contains all points of B , and in that case we can apply induction, or Π must contain a plane π of points in B . In the latter case, we can find a hyperplane Π' , intersecting π in a line, which contains more than 6 points of B and again by the preceding lemmas we conclude that Π' must contain a plane π' of points in B .

If π and π' do not intersect, we have the case described by the theorem. If the two planes intersect in a line, then they define a 3-space containing already

11 points of B . This implies that there is a hyperplane containing at least 11 points of B ; hence, by the preceding lemma, all points of B lie in this hyperplane, and we can apply induction. If the two planes share one point, then take a 3-space containing one of those planes and one line of the other plane through the intersection point of the two planes. This 3-space then lies in a hyperplane containing at least 9 points of B . Either it contains all points of B or exactly 10 points of B . But in this latter case, the intersection consists of a plane and a line skew to it, which is not the case here. The preceding lemmas show that B must be contained inside that hyperplane and we can apply induction. \square

4.4 Blocking sets with respect to codimension k spaces

From now on, let B be a two fold blocking set with respect to codimension k spaces of size $2\theta_k$.

Lemma 11

Each hyperplane contains at least $2\theta_{k-1}$ points of B and each codimension 2 space contains at least $2\theta_{k-2}$ points of B .

Proof

Apply Theorem 7 to hyperplanes and codimension 2 spaces, respectively. \square

Lemma 12

Let π be a codimension 2 space which contains exactly $2\theta_{k-2}$ points, then every hyperplane through π must contain exactly $2\theta_{k-1}$ points.

Proof

Counting the points of B in the hyperplanes through π , we find that $|B| \geq 2\theta_{k-2} + 3(2\theta_{k-1} - 2\theta_{k-2})$ with equality if and only if every hyperplane through π contains exactly $2\theta_{k-1}$ points of B .

Since $|B| = 2\theta_k = 2\theta_{k-2} + 3(2\theta_{k-1} - 2\theta_{k-2})$, we have equality in the above inequality. \square

We now come to the main characterisation result of this article.

Theorem 10

A two fold blocking set B with respect to the codimension k spaces of $PG(n, 2)$ contains at least $2\theta_k$ points. If B contains exactly $2\theta_k$ points, then B consists either of two skew k -spaces or all points of B lie in a $(k+1)$ -space.

Proof

We prove the theorem by induction on k . In the previous sections, we have dealt with the cases $k = 1$ and $k = 2$. Assume now $k \geq 3$.

Let Δ be a codimension 2 space which intersects B in $2\theta_{k-2}$ points. The existence of such a space Δ is proven in the following way. Since $|B| = 2\theta_k < \theta_{k+1}$, the Bose-Burton theorem (Theorem 1) implies that there exists a codimension $k+1$ space Π_{n-k-1} skew to B . Every codimension k space through Π_{n-k-1} contains at least two points of B . Since there are exactly θ_k such codimension k

spaces and since $|B| = 2\theta_k$, these spaces necessarily contain precisely two points of B . This then implies that an arbitrary codimension two space Δ through Π_{n-k-1} contains exactly $2\theta_{k-2}$ points of B .

By induction on k , the points of B in Δ lie either in two skew $(k-2)$ -spaces or in a $(k-1)$ -space.

Case 1: assume that $\Delta \cap B$ is equal to a $(k-1)$ -space minus one point.

Let H_1, H_2, H_3 be the three hyperplanes through Δ . Then each hyperplane H_i contains $2\theta_{k-1}$ points of B (Lemma 12), and applying induction on k , we find that these $2\theta_{k-1}$ points of B in H_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, must form a k -space $\text{PG}(k, 2)_i$ minus one point. Thus B consists of three k -spaces through a common $(k-1)$ -space, minus one point in this common $(k-1)$ -space.

Select in H_1 a new $(n-2)$ -dimensional space Δ' only sharing a $(k-1)$ -space minus one point, different from $\Delta \cap B$, with B . Let $H'_1 = H_1, H'_2, H'_3$ be the three hyperplanes through Δ' . They again share a k -space $\text{PG}(k, 2)'_i$ minus one point with B . Here, $\text{PG}(k, 2)_1 = \text{PG}(k, 2)'_1$. Then H'_2 intersects $B \cap H_2$ in a $(k-1)$ -dimensional space $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)_2$ minus one point and intersects $B \cap H_3$ in a $(k-1)$ -dimensional space $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)_3$ minus one point, all lying in $\text{PG}(k, 2)'_2$. This implies that the $(k+1)$ -dimensional space $\langle \text{PG}(k, 2)_1, \text{PG}(k, 2)'_2 \rangle$ contains all spaces $\text{PG}(k, 2)_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

This shows that B is equal to a $(k+1)$ -space minus one point.

Case 2: assume that $\Delta \cap B$ is equal to two disjoint $(k-2)$ -spaces.

Let H_1, H_2, H_3 be the three hyperplanes through Δ . Let $H_i \cap B$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, be the union of the two disjoint $(k-1)$ -spaces $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)^{(i)}_1$ and $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)^{(i)}_2$, where the three spaces $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)^{(i)}_1$ pass through the same $(k-2)$ -space in $\Delta \cap B$ and also the three spaces $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)^{(i)}_2$ pass through the same $(k-2)$ -space in $\Delta \cap B$.

Select in H_1 a new $(n-2)$ -dimensional space Δ' only intersecting $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)^{(1)}_1$ and $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)^{(1)}_2$ in two disjoint $(k-2)$ -spaces, different from $\Delta \cap B$. Then the three hyperplanes $H_1 = H'_1, H'_2, H'_3$ intersect B in two disjoint $(k-1)$ -spaces $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)^{(i)'}_1$ and $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)^{(i)'}_2$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Then H'_2 intersects $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)^{(2)}_1$ in a $(k-2)$ -space and intersects $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)^{(3)}_1$ in a $(k-2)$ -space.

Assume that $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)^{(1)}_1$ and $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)^{(2)'}_1$ share a $(k-2)$ -space. Then the preceding arguments show that the k -space $\langle \text{PG}(k-1, 2)^{(1)}_1, \text{PG}(k-1, 2)^{(2)'}_1 \rangle$ contains the three $(k-1)$ -spaces $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)^{(i)}_1$, all passing through a common $(k-2)$ -space. Hence, this shows that this k -space is contained in B .

A similar argument for the space $\langle \text{PG}(k-1, 2)^{(1)}_2, \text{PG}(k-1, 2)^{(2)'}_2 \rangle$ shows that also this k -space is contained in B . We conclude that B is the union of two disjoint k -spaces. \square

References

- [1] A. Beutelspacher, Blocking sets and partial spreads in finite projective spaces. *Geom. Dedicata* **9** (1980), 130-157.
- [2] R.C. Bose and R.C. Burton, A characterization of flat spaces in a finite geometry and the uniqueness of the Hamming and the McDonald codes. *J. Combin. Theory* **1** (1966), 96-104.
- [3] P. Govaerts and L. Storme, The classification of the smallest nontrivial blocking sets of $PG(n, 2)$. *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **113** (2006), 1543-1548.
- [4] U. Heim, Blockierende Mengen in endlichen projektiven Räumen. (German) [Blocking sets in finite projective spaces] Dissertation, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen, 1995. *Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen* **226** (1996).
- [5] T. Hellese, A characterization of codes meeting the Griesmer bound. *Info. Control* **50** (1981), 128-159.
- [6] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, *Finite projective spaces of three dimensions*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1985.

Address of the authors:

Manohar L. Aggarwal, The University of Memphis, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Memphis, TN 38152 (maggarwl@memphis.edu)

Andreas Klein, Ghent University, Dept. of Mathematics, Krijgslaan 281-S22, 9000 Ghent, Belgium (klein@cage.ugent.be)

Leo Storme, Ghent University, Dept. of Mathematics, Krijgslaan 281-S22, 9000 Ghent, Belgium (ls@cage.ugent.be, <http://cage.ugent.be/~ls>)